

MR. S
9/9/08
PC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit : 1794
Examiner : Jenna Leigh Johnson
Serial No. : 10/501,638
Filed : July 15, 2004
Inventors : Taiichi Okada

Customer No.: 035811

Docket No.: TOR-04-1178

entered
w/ the
10/7/08
LCE
Title : COATED BASE FABRIC FOR AIRBAG
AND METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING
THE SAME

Confirmation No.: 2464

Dated: September 9, 2008

RESPONSE

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

10/9/08
PC

This is submitted in response to the Official Action dated June 9, 2008.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 1.1 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 over the hypothetical combination of Kami with JP '740.

The Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's helpful and detailed comments hypothetically applying that combination against those claims. The Applicants particularly note with appreciation the Examiner's specific comments:

For the applicant to prove that the number of entanglements produced the improved results, the applicant must compare samples where the only difference between the two fabrics is the number of entanglements in the yarn. There are too many other variables in the examples provided in the specification for certain that the entanglements is [sic] directly related to the improved properties and that this result would be unexpected and not an obvious result of modifying the number of yarn entanglements.

The Applicants acknowledge this point and, accordingly, one of the Applicants, Mr. Okada, conducted a series of experiments that directly address this point.

The Applicants accordingly enclose a Declaration of Mr. Okada which recites the specifics of the experiments that he conducted and the results of those experiments. Thus, the Applicants invite the Examiner's attention to the enclosed Declaration wherein three Samples A, B and C were produced. It can be seen that the manner of producing all three samples was exactly the same except